DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

RAVEN ENTERTAINMENT, LLC, et al.,) Case No. 2:15-cv-02299-GMN-NJK
Plaintiff(s),	
vs.	ORDER
CHRISTOPHER LOWDEN, et al.,	
Defendant(s).)))

Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulation to stay discovery or, in the alternative, for an extended discovery period. Docket No. 20. The parties' request to stay discovery is premised on the pendency of a motion to dismiss. *See id.* at 2. As has been oft-repeated within this District, "[t]he Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not provide for automatic or blanket stays of discovery when a potentially dispositive motion is pending." *Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013) (quoting *Tradebay, LLC v. eBay, Inc.*, 278 F.R.D. 597, 601 (D. Nev. 2011)). Moreover, the parties fail to address the applicable standards for requesting such a stay. Accordingly, the request to stay discovery is **DENIED** without prejudice. Any renewed motion or stipulation to stay discovery based on the pending motion to dismiss must be filed by June 8, 2016, and must address the applicable standards for such a request.

With respect to the extended discovery period alternatively sought, the parties again rely in significant part on the pendency of the motion to dismiss in seeking that relief. Docket No. 20-1 at 2, 3. This is essentially a repackaging of the request to stay discovery, *see*, *e.g.*, Docket No. 20-1 at 3 ("the

Case 2:15-cv-02299-GMN-NJK Document 21 Filed 06/01/16 Page 2 of 2

parties do not wish to conduct discovery until after the Court rules on Defendants' motion to dismiss"),
which is denied without prejudice for the reasons outlined above. Plaintiffs also seek an extended
discovery period because they believe that the case is complex and counsel have upcoming travel plans.
See Docket No. 20-1 at 2. An insufficient showing has been made that such reasoning warrants
expanding the presumptively reasonable discovery period by more than 50%, as requested. <i>Compare</i>
$Local\ Rule\ 26-1 (b) (1)\ (providing\ presumptively\ reasonable\ 180-day\ discovery\ period)\ \textit{with}\ Docket\ No.$
20-1 at 2 (seeking discovery period of 275 days). Instead, based on the showing made, the Court will
allow 30 additional days of discovery. Accordingly, the Court hereby SETS the following deadlines:
• Amend pleadings/add parties: July 28, 2016
• Initial experts: August 26, 2016
• Interim status report: August 26, 2016
• Rebuttal experts: September 26, 2016

Discovery cutoff: October 26, 2016

Dispositive motions: November 23, 2016

Joint proposed pretrial order: December 23, 2016

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 1, 2016

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge